- H.R. 4158: Mr. BROUN of Georgia.
- H.R. 4163: Mr. CLAY.
- H.R. 4170: Ms. LEE of California.
- H.R. 4186: Mr. Griffin of Arkansas. H.R. 4227: Mr. Cohen.
- H.R. 4235: Ms. WILSON of Florida, Mr. HIMES, Mr. CANSECO, Mrs. McCarthy of New York, and Mr. HULTGREN.
- H.R. 4296: Mr. ROONEY and Mr. McIntyre.
- H.R. 4346: Mr. Blumenauer.
- H.R. 4402: Mr. McCLINTOCK.
- H.R. 4405: Mr. OLVER and Mr. STARK.
- H.R. 5129: Mr. CICILLINE.
- H.R. 5381: Mr. BISHOP of Utah.
- H.R. 5542: Ms. Fudge, Mrs. Maloney, Mr. FILNER, Ms. NORTON, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. MURPHY of Connecticut, Mr. CRITZ, Mr. LAR-SEN of Washington, Mr. MICHAUD, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, and Mr. CLARKE of Michigan.
- H.R. 5684: Mr. Peters, Mr. Keating, Mr. Ellison, and Mr. Carson of Indiana.

 - H.R. 5707: Mr. HIMES. H.R. 5742: Mr. SHERMAN.
 - H.R. 5749: Mrs. Maloney.
 - H.R. 5791: Mr. NUNES.
- H.R. 5822: Mr. Wolf.
- H.R. 5871: Mr. Polis and Mr. Young of Indi-
 - H.R. 5893; Mr. SCHILLING.
 - H.R. 5894: Mr. WILSON of South Carolina.
 - H.R. 5910: Mr. SCHILLING.
 - H.R. 5925: Mr. GIBSON.
- H.R. 5943: Ms. HOCHUL, Mr. ROE of Tennessee, Mr. OWENS, and Mr. GRIJALVA.
 - H.R. 5952: Mr. COBLE.
 - H.R. 5957: Mr. BROUN of Georgia.
 - H.R. 5974: Mr. MICHAUD and Ms. BALDWIN.
- $\rm H.R.~5978;~Mr.~CARSON~of~Indiana,~Mr.~OLVER,~and~Ms.~CASTOR~of~Florida.$
 - H.R. 5995: Mr. McDermott.
 - H.R. 5998: Mr. GUTHRIE and Mr. KISSELL.
 - H.R. 6019: Ms. HIRONO.
 - H.R. 6025: Mr. BURTON of Indiana.
- H.R. 6043: Mr. Burgess, Mr. Murphy of Connecticut, and Mrs. Bono Mack.
- H.R. 6047: Mr. McCLINTOCK and Mr. WEST-MORELAND.
 - H.J. Res. 72: Mr. FILNER.
- H.J. Res. 110: Mr. CAMP and Mr. YOUNG of Alaska.
- H. Con. Res. 129: Mr. GUINTA, Mr. MICHAUD, and Mr. Womack.
 - H. Res. 20: Ms. HAHN.
- H. Res. 111: Mr. RYAN of Ohio, Mr. ADER-HOLT, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. Cul-BERSON, and Mrs. Bono Mack.

- H. Res. 130: Mr. KILDEE and Mr. GRIJALVA.
- H. Res. 134: Ms. Eshoo. H. Res. 304: Mr. Jackson of Illinois.
- H. Res. 623: Mr. Schilling.
- H. Res. 663: Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio.
- H. Res. 676: Mrs. Lowey and Ms. Tsongas. H. Res. 690: Mr. Polis.
- H. Res. 695: Mr. NUGENT.
- H. Res. 713: Mr. Conyers, Mr. Rush, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Ms. WILSON of Florida, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. DEUTCH, Ms. NOR-TON, Ms. McCollum, Ms. Bass of California, Ms. HAHN, Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas, Mr. McGovern, Mr. TOWNS, Mr. CLARKE of Michigan, and Ms. RICHARDSON.

CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS, LIM-ITED TAX BENEFITS, OR LIM-ITED TARIFF BENEFITS

Under clause 9 of rule XXI, lists or statements on congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits were submitted as follows:

OFFERED BY MR. CAMP

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on Ways and Means in H.R. 6079, the "Repeal of Obamacare Act," do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives.

OFFERED BY MR. DREIER

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on Rules in H.R. 6079 do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

OFFERED BY MR. HASTINGS OF WASHINGTON

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on Resources in H.R. 6079 do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

OFFERED BY MR. KLINE

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on Education and the Workforce in H.R. 6079, the Repeal of Obamacare Act, do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

Offered By Mr. Daniel E. Lungren of CALIFORNIA

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on House Administration in H.R. 6079 do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule

Offered By Mr. Rogers of Kentucky

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on Appropriations in H.R. 6079 do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

OFFERED BY MR. RYAN OF WISCONSIN

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on the Budget in H.R. 6079, repeal of PL 111-148, PL 111-152, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and health care-related provisions in the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

OFFERED BY MR. SMITH OF TEXAS

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on the Judiciary in H.R. 6079 do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

OFFERED BY MR. UPTON

The provisions that warranted a referral to the Committee on Energy and Commerce in H.R. 6079 do not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule

DELETIONS OF SPONSORS FROM PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were deleted from public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 3798: Mr. WEST.